IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI WESTERN DIVISION

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,)
Plaintiff,)
)
V.)
)
BF LABS INC, et al.,)
)
Defendants.)

Case No.: 14-CV-00815-W-BCW

DEFENDANTS BF LABS INC., SONNY VLEISIDES, AND DARLA DRAKE'S MOTION TO STRIKE DECLARATION AND EXCLUDE ALL TESTIMONY FROM ARVIND NARAYANAN, Ph. D

Defendants BF Labs Inc., Sonny Vleisides, and Darla Drake (collectively "Defendants"), under Federal Rules of Evidence 403, 701, and 702, move to strike the declaration of Arvind Narayanan, Ph. D., (Doc. 166-16), and move to exclude from evidence all testimony, expert opinions, and conclusions offered by Dr. Narayanan at the November 24, 2014 preliminary injunction hearing. In support of this motion, and as more fully set forth in the accompanying Suggestions in Support, Defendants state:

 The role of the Court under Federal Rule of Evidence 702 is to review and exclude all unreliable expert testimony. *See* Advisory Committee's Note to 2000 Amendment to Rule 702 (citing *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharm., Inc.,* 509 U.S. 579, 597, 113 S. Ct. 2786, 125 L.Ed. 2d 469 (1993)).

2. The FTC has the burden of demonstrating by a preponderance of the evidence that the expert testimony offered by Dr. Narayanan is admissible. *Daubert*, 509 U.S. at 592 n. 10, (citing *Bourgaily v. United States*, 483 U.S. 171, 97 L. Ed. 2d. 144, 107 S.Ct. 2775 (1987)). To meet this burden, the FTC must establish: (a) that Dr. Narayanan's testimony is based on scientific facts or data; (b) that the data was produced using reliable principles and methods; and

(c) that Dr. Narayanan has applied reliable principles and methods in a reliable manner to the facts of this case. Fed. R. Evid. 702.

3. Because Rule 703 requires that expert testimony be predicated on well-founded data and analyses, the FTC has the burden of establishing that the "underlying assumptions" on which Dr. Narayanan's opinions are based are reliable. *See TK-Seven Corp. v. Estate of Barbouti*, 993 F.2d 722 (10th Cir. 1993). The trial court's gatekeeping function requires more than simply "taking the expert's word for it." *Daubert*, 43 F.2d at 1319.

4. Under *Daubert*, general expertise itself is insufficient. An expert must also possess "sufficient specialized knowledge to assist the jurors in deciding the particular issues in the case." *Kumho Tire Co. v. Carmichael*, 526 U.S. 137, 156 (quoting 4 McLaughlin, Weinstein's Federal Evidence §702.05[1], p. 702-33 (2d ed. 1998)). An expert's failure to link his theory to the facts of the case may therefore result in exclusion of the expert's testimony, even though the research used by the expert may rest on sound methodology and the expert may be well-qualified in his field. *United States v. Mamah*, 332 F.3d 475 (7th Cir. 2003).

5. Dr. Narayanan's depreciation opinions principally fail to account for bitcoin exchange rate and hash rate volatility, among other variables. This failure alone is sufficient to render Dr. Narayanan's opinions unreliable and require that they be excluded.

6. Dr. Narayanan's intentional or unintentional decision to ignore these market realities is precisely what renders his opinions unreliable and subject to exclusion by the Court, just as the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit held that a "hypothetical market" expert should have been excluded in *Concord Board Corp. v. Brunswick Corp.*, 207 F.3d 1039 (8th Cir. 2000).

7. According to his CV and Declaration, Dr. Narayanan has no experience in

2

manufacturing electronics, so he is unable to opine as to why ASIC mining hardware must be tested on testnet. There are important technological reasons why ASIC mining hardware is tested on the live bitcoin network.

8. In engineering terms, using any environment other than the intended environment, is not viable for a functionality test. Using any alternative to the true environment for final validation puts BF Labs at the mercy of alternative environment developers.

9. The "Benchmark Mode" of BFGMiner cannot be used to validate units, as it only tests job issuance, not queue flushing and immediate or slow job resubmission, which are critical to fully exercising all device conditions. Failure to do so prevents discovery of faulty hardware which makes it necessary to the test units on the live network where users will use their product. Without verifying end-to-end operation, BF Labs risks shipping non-functional devices.

10. Dr. Narayanan's opinions and conclusions should also be excluded for the independent reason that the FTC failed to provide any of the expert-witness disclosures required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B). The FTC is not excused from the requirement of making these disclosures simply because it had "not yet completed administrative and contracting paperwork" and believed it was "not in a position to make him available for a deposition or to require that he produce a report." *See* Doc. 160, Ex. D.

11. Defendants' Suggestions in Support and exhibit thereto are filed contemporaneously with this Motion and incorporated herein by this reference.

WHEREFORE, Defendants BF Labs Inc., Sonny Vleisides, and Darla Drake move the Court under Federal Rules of Evidence 403, 701 and 702 to strike Dr. Narayanan's Declaration and exclude him from offering testimony in any form, and for such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

3

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ James M. Humphrey	
James M. Humphrey	MO # 50200
Michael S. Foster	MO # 61205
Miriam E. Bailey	MO # 60366
Polsinelli PC	
900 W. 48th Place, Suite 900	
Kansas City, Missouri 64112-1895	
Telephone: (816) 753-1000	
Facsimile: (816) 753-1536	
jhumphrey@polsinelli.com	
mfoster@polsinelli.com	
mbailey@polsinelli.com	

MO # 53865

Braden M. Perry Kennyhertz Perry, LLC 420 Nichols Road, Suite 207 Kansas City, MO 64112 Direct: 816-527-9445 Fax: 855-844-2914 braden@kennyhertzperry.com

Attorneys for Defendants BF Labs Inc., Sonny Vleisides, and Darla Drake

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on November 21, 2014, a true and correct copy of the foregoing pleading was served by the Court's ECF system on the following:

Bryant T. Lamer

Helen Wong Teresa N. Kosmidis Leah Frazier Gregory Ashe Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Mail Stop CC-10232 Washington DC 20580 202-326-3779 (Wong) 202-326-3216 (Kosmidis) 202-326-2187 (Frazier) hwong@ftc.gov tkosmidis@ftc.gov Ifrazier@ftc.gov gashe@ftc.gov

Kersten L. Holzhueter Andrea M. Chase Katie Jo Wheeler Lucinda H. Luetkemeyer Spencer Fane Britt & Browne LLP 1000 Walnut Street, Suite 1400 Kansas City MO 64106 816-474-8100 blamer@spencerfane.com kholzheuter@spencerfane.com achase@spencerfane.com luetkemeyer@spencerfane.com

Attorneys for Temporary Receiver Eric L. Johnson

Charles M. Thomas Assistant United States Attorney Charles Evans Whittaker Courthouse 400 East Ninth Street, Room 5510 Kansas City, MO 64106 816-426-3130 charles.thomas@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff

James D. Griffin MO # 33370 Lisa M. Bolliger MO # 65496 Scharnhorst Ast Kennard Griffin, PC 1100 Walnut, Suite 1950 Kansas City, Missouri 64106 Tel: (816) 268-9400 Fax: (816) 268-9409 jgriffin@sakg.com lbolliger@sakg.com

Attorneys for Defendant Nasser Ghoseiri

<u>/s/ James M. Humphrey</u> Attorney for Defendants BF Labs Inc., Sonny Vleisides, and Darla Drake

49252815.1